## THE RURAL MUNICIPALITY OF MORRIS

The Board of Revision for the Rural Municipality of Morris held its hearing at 10:00 A.M. on Thursday, October 9th, 1986 in the Council Chambers of the R. M. of Morris.

Mr. Dan Thiessen chaired the Board. Board members present were: George St. Hilaire, W. F. Recksiedler, Glenn Curdt, Dave Waldner, Larry Gluck, Don Hamblin.

Board of Revision

Moved by Glenn Curdt, Seconded by Dave Waldner: #220/86. Resolved that the Council of the R. M. of Morris now become the Board of Revision for the R. M. of Morris for the 1986 additional assessments and the 1987 assessments.

Carried.

Prior to the start of the Board of Revision, Mr. Jack Fehr of the Morden Municipal assessment Office was sworn in. In addition to this the following persons were also sworn in or affirmed as they made their respective presentations to the Board of Revision on behalf of their appeals. These people were Mr. and Mrs. Marcel Berthelette, Mr. Abe Paetkau, Mr. Rod Charney and Mr. Joseph Furgal.

Following all of the presentations made for each of the appeals, the Board of Revision then decided upon the appeals.

Appeal 1 R.Kornelsen

Moved by Donald Hamblin, Seconded by Glenn Curdt: #221/86. Whereas Mrs. Rose Kornelsen has appealed the taxable building assessment on the South half of Legal Subdivision 9 and 10 of the NE $^1$ 4 of Section 25-5-1West on the basis that her major income is from farming;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the building assessment be sustained.

Carried.

Appeal 2 C. Reimer Moved by Dave Waldner, Seconded by George St. Hilaire: #222/86. Whereas Mr. Colin Reimer has appealed the number of acres for which he is assessed on Lot 2, Plan 16960 which is claimed should be lower than that shown in the Assessment Roll; Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the number of acres remain as shown on the assessment roll. Carried.

Appeal 3 A. Paetkau Moved by Larry Gluck, Seconded by George St. Hilaire: #223/86. Whereas Mr. Abe V. Paetkau and Mrs. Esther E. Paetkau have appealed the 1986 taxable building assessment on part of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 24-5-2West;

And Whereas Mr. Paetkau states that his income is from this farming operation;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the residence building assessment remain as taxable.

Carried.

Appeal 4 J. Furgal Moved by George St. Hilaire, Seconded by Glenn Curdt: #224/86. Whereas Mr. Joseph F. Furgal has appealed the building assessment on Lot 16, Plan 3649 in Aubigny; Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the building assessment be sustained.

Carried

Appeal 5 M.St. Onge Moved by George St. Hilaire, Seconded by Dave Waldner: #225/86. Whereas Mr. Marc St. Onge and Mrs. Irene St. Onge have appealed the commercial assessment for some buildings on River Lot 461, Plan 3599, which they state are no longer being used for commercial purposes;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the taxable, commercial building assessment be reduced to \$210. commencing in 1987 and that there be a tax adjustment for 1986.

Carried.

Œ

..... 2

Appeal 6 C. Goossen Moved by Donald Hamblin, Seconded by W. F. Recksiedler: #226/86. Whereas Mr. Cameron Goossen has appealed the fact that there is a building assessment on Legal Subdivision 12 and 13, in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 26-5-lEast;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the Board of Revision decision is that the building assessment be deleted from this property.

Carried.

The Board of Revision then recessed for lunch at 12:15 P.M. to reconvene at 1:00 P.M.

Appeal 8 RM.Morris P. Falk

Moved by Glenn Curdt, Seconded W. F. Recksiedler: #227/86. Whereas the R. M. of Morris, on behalf of Mr. Peter Falk have appealed the building assessment shown on Lots 1 to 4, in Block 2 and Plan 522 in Lowe Farm stating that there are no buildings currently on this property; Therefore Be It Resolved that the Board of Revision decision is that

Therefore Be It Resolved that the Board of Revision decision is that the building assessment indicated for 1987 be deleted.

Carried.

Appeal 9 R. Charney

Moved by W. F. Recksiedler, Seconded by Glenn Curdt: #228/86. Whereas Mr. Rod Charney and Mrs. Jean Charney have appealed the 1986 additional assessment and the 1987 building assessment for that portion of the SE½ of section 26-4-2West as described under Assessment Roll No. 96900; Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the assessment be sustained.

Carried.

Appeal 10 M.Berthelette

Moved by George St. Hilaire, Seconded by Dave Waldner: #229/86. Whereas Marcel and Pauline Berthelette have appealed the 1986 additional building assessment on the East half of Lot 3 and all Lot 4, in Plan 3649 in Aubigny;

Therefore Be It resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the house building assessment be reduced to \$2,150.00 for the 1986 added assessment and for the 1987 assessment roll.

Carried.

Appeal 11 J.L.Loewen Moved by John L. Loewen, Seconded by George St. Hilaire: #230/86. Whereas John L. Loewen has appealed the amount of the assessment and that the buildings are classified as taxable on Lot 1, Plan 2327 being part of the NW4 of Section 34-5-1West; And Whereas Mr. Loewen feels that the assessment is too high and also that as he is a farmer that the buildings should be exempt from taxation;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the assessment be sustained.

Carried.

Appeal 12 L. Friesen Moved by Donald Hamblin, Seconded by W. F. Recksiedler: #231/86. Whereas Lonnie Friesen has appealed the taxable building assessment on Lot 7, Plan 16158, being part of the  $SW_4$  of Section 28-5-lEast on the basis that his main income is from farming; Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that the building assessment be sustained.

Carried.

Appeal 7 A.M. Palud Moved by George St. Hilaire, Seconded by Donald Hamblin: #232/86. Whereas Mrs. A. M. Palud has appealed the number of acres for which she is assessed on River Lot 422 and Part of River Lot 420, in Plan 4121;

And Whereas it is claimed that due to a recent subdivision of land that fewer acres of almd are shown than is claimed to be; Therefore Be It Resolved that the decision of the Board of Revision is that approximately 10 acres of land being assessed at \$550. be added to Roll No. 275800.

Carried.

.... 3

Board Adjourn

Moved by Dave Waldner, Seconded by George St. Hilaire: #233/86. Resolved that the Board of Revision be now adjourned and the members of the Board of Revision now resume as the Council of the Rural Municipality of Morris.

Carried.

1987 Assessment Roll

Moved by Donald Hamblin, Seconded by Glenn Curdt: #234/86. Resolved that we now adopt the 1987 assessment roll as it is now revised.

Carried.

Secretary Colon